

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/791,815	03/04/2004	Koichi Fujisawa	0754-0200P	3634	
2292 75	590 03/17/2006		EXAMINER		
BIRCH STEW	VART KOLASCH & B	BUTTNER, DAVID J			
PO BOX 747	CH, VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
FALLS CHOR			1712		
			DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/791,815	FUJISAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
David Buttner	1712		

		David Buttner		1712	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet	with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPL	Y FILED <u>08 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDIT	ION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1. 🔯 The re this a place: a Rec	eply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on pplication, applicant must timely file one of the follows the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Noquest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant periods:	the same day as filing a ving replies: (1) an amen tice of Appeal (with appe	Notice of adment, affeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) 🔲 Ті	he period for reply expires 3 -months from the mailing date he period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	dvisory Action, or (2) the da			
T\ Extensions o have been fil under 37 CFI	xaminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or one WO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 if time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date ed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex R 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set of the	06.07(f). on which the petition under tension and the correspond shortened statutory period for	37 CFR 1.1 ing amount or reply orig	36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
may reduce a NOTICE OF	any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) - APPEAL			•	•
filing t	lotice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte- ice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since
3. ⊠ The ¡ (a) ⊠	proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or searc			ecause
(c) 🗆	They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by m	•		the issues for
(a) 🗀	They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	• •	i iiiialiy rej	ected claims.	
=	amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 icant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	21. See attached Notice	of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	ly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al illowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a	separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. X For pound for the second formula of the second for the second	urposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) he new or amended claims would be rejected is protected to the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim Claim	(s) allowed: (s) objected to: (s) rejected: <u>1 and 4-13</u> .				
	(s) withdrawn from consideration: OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. □ The a becau	ffidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu use applicant failed to provide a showing of good and not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
entere	ffidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ed because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c ng a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections u	nder appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
_	affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the dai	ims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. 🛛 The	request for reconsideration has been considered bu Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the ap	plication in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-144			
13.	er:			J. BUTTNER Y EXAMINER	
			Da	al Butte	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: new claim 15 would require obviousness double patenting rejection; claim 15's limiting to single species of phosphonite is new issue.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: there is no response to the provisional obviousness double patenting. Application 10-849231 was allowed 10/05.

Bulpett and Akashi are relied on merely to name some species of stabilzer/antioxidant useful in urethanes in general. Any such stabilizer/antioxidant would be prima facie obvious as the antioxidant/stabilizer in the primary reference urethane paint. Any possible unexpected results is limited to the single P compound of applicant's examples. Applicant's claims are open to any hypophosphorous compound (ie the showing is not comensurate in scope with the claims).